

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Mr. Speaker, the adjournment motion tabled by me and two others deserves to be admitted for the following reasons. Far-reaching recommendations affecting the boundaries of Mysore have been made by the States Reorganisation Commission. The consequences of the recommendations of this Reorganisation Commission have got to be viewed by all persons concerned dispassionately and calmly. But we have been hearing reports that serious tension is prevailing in some parts of Mysore State. This is a matter and a definite matter and of recent occurrence and therefore this motion deserves to be admitted.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—I believe, Sir, the motion is out of order.

Sri J MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—I rise to a point of order. The Minister is not in his place.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Because the phone was here I came here, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The objection raised by the Hon'ble Member is correct. The Minister can go to his seat and speak.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Sir, the States Reorganisation Commission has made only proposals. It is not finalising of an Act, it is not a proposal which demands immediate implementation; it is just for the consideration of the public and of the legislatures. Therefore there is neither urgency for adjournment nor priority over the appointed business.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That the Report of the Reorganisation Commission would be released on the 10th October 1955 was known for a long time. It is not as if any sudden emergency has arisen which necessitates an urgent debate in the House. I therefore hold that the motion is out of order.

Re. Heavy damage caused to raiyats under Prikarhi tank in Molakalmuru Taluk.

There is another adjournment motion by **Sri A. Bheemappa Naik** which runs as follows.—

“This House do now stand adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of public importance to wit the heavy damage caused to the raiyats under Prikarhi tank in Molakalmuru Taluk on account of the breach of that tank on 27th September 1955.”

I want to know how this is in order.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Molakalmuru).—It is like this, Sir. Before 24th September we could not send any questions or resolutions. It is on the 27th of last month that heavy damage has been caused on account of huge breach in Prikarhi tank causing damage to the tune of several lakhs of rupees to the public there. Unless the tank is immediately repaired, thousands of persons will under go starvation. The tank is breached in three grades, four to five hundred feet of tank bund has been washed away. All this calls for an urgent attention by the Government. Therefore I wanted to explain to Government and to the House about the conditions prevailing there so that they might be in a position to estimate the loss sustained and to give relief to those persons who have become the victims. Therefore Sir, it is an important matter and also of recent occurrence. If this matter is not attended to immediately, it might result in the further washing away of lands under cultivation and several wells—even irrigation pumps have been washed away. They are not even to be seen. That is the position. Several people are moving about without food in those areas. And hence this is an urgent matter affecting the interests of a part of the State. In view of these circumstances. I would request you kindly to permit me to move it as an adjournment motion.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I do agree that it is an important matter. Consistent with the importance of the issues, the Government have taken note of the same and have rushed to help them and to afford relief. Therefore the Hon'ble Member is hereby assured that all possible things which would minimise their difficulties have been done and are being done. And

(SRI A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.)

therefore any further discussion on this subject is not necessary.

Mr. SPEAKER.—As Hon'ble Members are aware, it is not every matter of importance that can claim precedence over the appointed business. There is no such emergency as will brook no delay and has to supersede the list of business. This matter is doubtless of deep concern to the people of the area, but it is not admissible as an adjournment motion. Further the Hon'ble Member should have given notice at the earliest possible opportunity, namely, before 10 A.M. yesterday.

I therefore rule the motion as out of order.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I cannot understand the difference between 10 A.M. and 1 P.M. in an urgent matter of this sort. Anyway I bow to the decision of the Chair. I will withdraw it, Sir.

Rajpramukh's Recommendation.

Re: DEMANDS FOR ADDITIONAL AND SUPPLEMENTARY GRANTS FOR 1955-56.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In pursuance of clause (1) of article 205 sub-clause (1) of the Constitution, His Highness the Rajpramukh has directed that the demands for additional and Supplementary grants for the year 1955-56 totalling up to Rs. 1,27,77,000 may be laid on the table of the House and I have received a message to that effect.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—I submit that this question may be taken up a little later, because the Hon'ble Leader of the House has gone to the other House.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—I beg to lay on the table, the Mysore Board of Revenue Rules, 1955.

Introduction.

Mysore Essential Services Maintenance (Amendment) Bill, 1955.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—Sir, I beg to introduce the Mysore Essential Services Maintenance (Amendment) Bill, 1955.

MYSORE UNIVERSITY BILL, 1955.

Motion to Consider—(Continued.)

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ (ಸಾಗರ-ಹೊಸ ನಗರ).—ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪುನಃರಚಿಸಲು ಈ ಮಸೂದೆ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿ ದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಸಲಹೆಗಳಿಂದ ಈಗಿರುವ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಗಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪರಿಣಾಮಕಾರಿಯಾದ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಗಳಾಗು ವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂದೇಹವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕೆಲವರು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟ ಹಾಗೆ ಕ್ರಾಂತಿಕಾರಕ ವಾದ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ವನ್ನು ಸಮರ್ಥಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಸಿದ್ಧನಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾರಣ, ಈಗಿನ ವ್ಯಾಸಂಗ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಆಡಳಿತ ವ್ಯವ ಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಹಣಕಾಸಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥ ಕ್ರಾಂತಿಕಾರಕವಾದ ಮಾರ್ಪಾಟು ಗಳನ್ನು ತರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಪ್ರಾವೀಣ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಮಸೂದೆ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ.

ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಯನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದನ್ನು non-lapsable ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ವರ್ಷ ವರ್ಷ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಮೊಬಲಗಿನ ಸರಾಸರಿಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡು ಈಗ ಯಾವಯಾವ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆಯೋ ಅದೇ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆಯೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹಣ ಕೊಡುವವರಾಗಿ ದ್ದಾರೆ. ಏನಾದರೂ ಅನಿವಾರ್ಯ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಕೊಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲ ಅಗಲ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆಯೇ ಅಗಲ ಮಾಡಬಹುದೇ ಹೊರತು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಗಳು ವಿವರಣೆ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ non-lapsable ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇರೆ ಅರ್ಥ ಬರುವಂತೆ ವಿವರಣೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ನಾನು ತಿಳಿದಿರುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ non-lapsable grant ಎಂದರೆ, ಒಂದು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಅದು ಖರ್ಚಾಗದೆ ಉಳಿದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಮುಂದಿನ ವರ್ಷ ರ್ಯಾಪ್ಸ್ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಡದೆ, ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ರಚನತಕ್ಕ ಒಂದು ನಿಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ವರ್ಷ ಹೇಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು ಎಂದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಬಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್ (ನ್ಯಾಯಾಂಗ ಮತ್ತು ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).—ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ವಿವರಣೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಬಹುಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಕಡಮೆಯಾಗಬಹುದು. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಿತ್ರರು ತಿಳಿದಿರುವ ರೀತಿ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ವಿನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹುಶಃ Non-lapsable grant ಎನ್ನುವುದಕ್ಕೂ Perpetual grant ಎನ್ನುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಇರುವ